A RAPID POINT OF CARE TEST FOR BACTERIAL PROTEASE IN CHRONIC WOUNDS IS PREDICTIVE OF AMPUTATION RISK

Introduction

Chronic wounds are open to the environment and are susceptible to contamination by bacteria, potentially leading to infection. Some of the consequences of a chronic wound are shown in Figure 1. A patient with a chronic wound is more likely to receive a non-traumatic lower limb amputation (LLA) due partly to increased traumatic LLA due partly to increased wound chronicity and increase the risk of amputation. Integrating a point of care test for BPA as part of routine wound assessment could be a valuable tool in treatment pathways to inform clinicians that the wound is at risk of infection and not currently being treated with topical antimicrobials, are enrolled after informed consent. At Time 0 the wound was swabbed and tested using the WOUNDCHEK Bacterial Status device. The test result was blinded to the clinician. The patients were followed for 12 weeks to determine if the wound healed or not and whether an amputation occurred due to the wound.

Methods

314 chronic wounds (128 venous leg ulcers, 144 diabetic foot ulcers, 31 pressure ulcers, 6 mixed etiology leg ulcers and 5 arterial ulcers) on patients attending 7 US wound clinics, without signs of infection and not currently being treated with topical antimicrobials, were enrolled after informed consent. At Time 0 the wound was swabbed and tested using the WOUNDCHEK Bacterial Status device. The test result was blinded to the clinician. The patients were followed for 12 weeks to determine if the wound healed or not and whether an amputation occurred due to the wound.

Results

The analysis combines data from a prospective clinical trial at seven US wound clinics (n=266 wounds) and unaudited data from a preceding pilot cohort (n=48 wounds). 43% (135/314) of the wounds were positive for BPA of which 99 were non-healing wounds yielding a positive predictive value of 73% (99/135, 95% CI:66.9-78.9%). 124 wounds healed within 12 weeks giving a healing rate of 39% (124/314). Of the 10 patients that received amputations due to their wounds (8 DFUs, 2 VLUs), 8 were positive for BPA.

Discussion

Presence of pathogenic bacteria in a wound can cause a period of pathogenesis that leads to local infection, impairs healing and can result in the need for LLA. Clinical examination can wrongly diagnose infection with some chronic wounds failing to exhibit the classic signs of infection and chronic inflammation being misinterpreted as infection. Testing wound fluid for BPA using a rapid point of care test may be useful for detecting the presence of pathogenic bacteria, at a clinically significant stage in the infection continuum, even before the signs of infection are apparent. Integrating a point of care test in BPA as part of routine wound assessment could be a valuable tool in treatment pathways to inform clinicians that the wound is in a period of pathogenesis which could lead to overt infection and be a possible contributor to wound chronicity and increase the risk of amputation.

The relative risk of amputation in patients positive for BPA was 5.3 (p=0.03).

Conclusion

The study demonstrates there is a statistically significant increased risk of amputation when bacterial proteases are detected in a wound asymptomatic for infection. Prospective studies are required to confirm that using the WOUNDCHEK Bacterial Status test to aid diagnosis and assist in directing appropriate therapy can improve healing outcomes and reduce amputation risk.
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Case Study

Diabetic foot ulcer, right plantar.

Week 0:
➢ No clinical signs of infection
➢ Positive for BPA (result blind to clinician)
➢ Treatment: Mepilex & Melipad

Week 4:
➢ No clinical signs of infection
➢ Positive for BPA (result blind to clinician)
➢ Treatment: Aquacel

Week 5:
➢ Patient bed ridden with chills and pains. Foot swollen and increased odour
➢ Third digit is purple and 5x8cm area of redness on dorsal foot
➢ Patient referred to surgery for amputation
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